multichannel / io_uring

Status Update within Samba

Stefan Metzmacher <metze@samba.org>

Samba Team / SerNet

2021-09-28

https://samba.org/~metze/presentations/2021/SDC/

Topics

- ▶ What is SMB3 Multichannel?
- ▶ Updates in Samba 4.15
- ▶ What is io-uring?
- ▶ io-uring for Samba
- ▶ Performance research, prototyping and ideas
- Questions? Feedback!





What is SMB3 Multichannel?

- ▶ Multiple transport connections are bound to one logical connection
 - This allows using more than one network link
 - Good for performance
 - Good for availability reasons
 - Non TCP transports like RDMA (InfiniBand, RoCE, iWarp)
- ▶ All transport connections (channels) share the same CliendGUID
 - This is important for Samba
- An authenticated binding is done at the user session layer
 - SessionID, TreeID and FileID values are valid on all channels
- Available network interfaces are auto-negotiated
 - ► FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO interface list
 - ▶ IP (v4 or v6) addresses are returned together with:
 - ▶ Interface Index (which addresses belong to the same hardware)
 - ▶ Link speed
 - RSS and RDMA capabilities



Last Status Updates (SDC 2020 / SambaXP 2021)

- ▶ I gave a similar talk at the storage developer conference 2020:
 - See https://samba.org/~metze/presentations/2020/SDC/
 - ▶ It explains the milestones and design up to Samba 4.13 (in detail)
- ▶ I gave a similar talk at the SambaXP 2021:
 - ► See https://samba.org/~metze/presentations/2021/SambaXP/
 - ▶ It explains the milestones and updates up to Samba 4.15 (in detail)



Updates in Samba 4.15

- Automated regression tests are in place:
 - socket_wrapper got basic fd-passing support(Bug #11899)
 - ▶ We added a lot more multichannel related regression tests
- ► The last missing features/bugs are fixed (Bug #14524)
 - ▶ The connection passing is fire and forget (Bug #14433)
 - ▶ Pending async operations are canceled (Bug #14449)
- ▶ 4.15 finally has "server multi channel support = yes"
 - ► We require support for TIOCOUTQ (Linux) or FIONWRITE (FreeBSD)
 - ▶ We disable multichannel feature if the platform doesn't support this
 - ► See: Retries of Lease/Oplock Break Notifications (Bug #11898)





What is io-uring? (Part 1)

- ▶ Linux 5.1 introduced a new scalable AIO infrastructure
 - It's designed to avoid syscalls as much as possible
 - kernel and userspace share mmap'ed rings:
 - submission queue (SQ) ring buffer
 - completion queue (CQ) ring buffer
 - ► See "Ringing in a new asynchronous I/O API" on LWN.NET
- ▶ This can be nicely integrated with our async tevent model
 - It may delegate work to kernel threads
 - It seems to perform better compared to our userspace threadpool
 - It can also inline non-blocking operations





io-uring for Samba (Part 1)

- ▶ Between userspace and filesystem (available from 5.1):
 - ► IORING_OP_READV, IORING_OP_WRITEV and IORING_OP_FSYNC
 - Supports buffered and direct io
- ▶ Between userspace and socket (and also filesystem) (from 5.8)
 - ► IORING_OP_SENDMSG, IORING_OP_RECVMSG
 - ▶ Improved MSG_WAITALL support (5.12, backported to 5.11, 5.10)
 - ► IORING_OP_SPLICE, IORING_OP_TEE
 - Maybe using IORING_SETUP_SQPOLL or IOSQE_ASYNC
- ▶ Path based syscalls with async impersonation (from 5.6)
 - IORING_OP_OPENAT2, IORING_OP_STATX
 - ▶ Using IORING_REGISTER_PERSONALITY for impersonation
 - ► IORING_OP_UNLINKAT, IORING_OP_RENAMEAT (from 5.10)
 - ► IORING_OP_MKDIRAT, IORING_OP_SYMLINKAT, IORING_OP_LINKAT (from 5.15)





io-uring for Samba (Part 2)

IORING_FEAT_NATIVE_WORKERS (from 5.12)

- ▶ In the kernel...
 - ► The io-uring kernel threads are clone()'ed from the userspace thread
 - ▶ They just appear to be blocked in a syscall and never return
 - ▶ This makes the accounting in the kernel much saner
 - Allows a lot of restrictions to be relaxed in the kernel
- For admins and userspace developers...
 - They are no longer 'io_wqe_work' kernel threads
 - ▶ 'top' shows them as part of the userspace process ('H' shows them)
 - ► They are now visible in containers
 - 'pstree -a -t -p' is very useful to see them
 - ▶ They are shown as iou-wrk-1234, for a task with pid/tid 1234





vfs_io_uring in Samba 4.12 (2020)

- ▶ With Samba 4.12 we added "io_uring" vfs module
 - ► For now it only implements SMB_VFS_PREAD,PWRITE,FSYNC_SEND/RECV
 - ▶ It has less overhead than our pthreadpool default implementations
 - ▶ I was able to speed up a smbclient 'get largefile /dev/null'
 - Using against smbd on loopback
 - ► The speed changes from 2.2GBytes/s to 2.7GBytes/s
- ► The improvement only happens by avoiding context switches
 - But the data copying still happens:
 - ► From/to a userspace buffer to/from the filesystem/page cache
 - ▶ The data path between userspace and socket is completely unchanged
 - For both cases the cpu is mostly busy with memcpy





Performance research (SMB2 Read)


- ▶ In October 2020 I was able to do some performance research
 - ▶ With 100GBit/s interfaces and two NUMA nodes per server.
- ▶ At that time I focussed on the SMB2 Read performance only
 - ▶ We had limited time on the given hardware
 - We mainly tested with fio.exe on a Windows client
 - Linux kernel 5.8.12 on the server
- More verbose details can be found here:
 - https://lists.samba.org/archive/samba-technical/2020-October/135856.html





Performance with MultiChannel, sendmsg()

4 connections, "3.8 GBytes/s, bound by >500% cpu in total, sendmsg() takes up to 0.5 msecs





IORING_OP_SENDMSG (Part1)

0.0 0.0

0.0

0.0

8.8


8.8

0.0 0.0

8.8

8.8

4 connections, "6.8 GBytes/s, smbd only uses "11% cpu, (io_wqe_work "50% cpu) per connection, we still use >300% cpu in total op - 05:45:38 up 2 days, 46 min, 2 users, load average: 3.03, 2.84, 1.61 hreads: 823 total, 3 running, 820 sleeping, 0 stopped, 0 zombie Cpu(s): 0.1 us, 4.7 sy, 0.0 ni, 94.6 id, 0.0 wa, 0.1 hi, 0.5 si, 0.0 st 2 Administrator: Windows PowerShell iB Mem : 191624.1 total, 182194.6 free, 2702.6 used, 6726.9 buff/cache complete : 8-9.9%, 4-100.0%, 8-9.1%, 16-9.1%, 32-9.0%, 64-9.0%, >-64-9.0% 1024.0 total. 1024.0 free 0.0 used. 185554.7 avail Mem issued rwts: total=64728,0,0,0 short=0,0,0,0 dropped=0,0,0,0 latency : target=0, window=0, percentile=100.00%, depth=16 PID USER TIME+ COMMAND 307577 root 0:05.80 io wae worker-0 un status group 0 (all jobs): READ: bw=5396MiB/s (5658MB/s), 4096MiB/s-5396MiB/s (4295MB/s-5658MB/s), ig=253GiB (271G 387549 root 0:21.39 io wae worker-0 387555 root 0:21.45 io wae worker-0 387567 root 0:09.92 io wge worker-1 fio test: (g=0): rw=read. bs=(R) 4096KiB-4096KiB. (W) 4096KiB-4096KiB. (T) 4096KiB-4096KiB 307558 root fio-3.22 307556 root Starting 2 threads 307559 root 0:08.92 smbd lobs: 2 (f=2): [R(2)][15.3%][r=6816MiB/s][r=1784 IOPS][eta 84m:14s] 307563 root 0:08.86 smbd 387557 root 0:09.11 smbd Task Manager 387568 root 0:09 38 smbd File Options View 387561 root 0:09 07 smhd 307534 root 0:09.00 smbd Processes Performance Users Details Services 307576 root 0:05.61 smbd 307562 root A-A8 93 smbd CPU Ethernet 307530 root 0.1 0:05.16 smbd 16% 2.78 GHz 307552 root 0:12.25 io wae worker-0 Throughput 417 root 0:03.58 kworker/0:2-event Memory 387183 root 0:00.61 kworker/u160:2-ml 12/512 GB (2%) 307568 root 0:00.02 kworker/29:0-ever 307588 root 0:00.12 top Ethernet 1 root 0:02.84 systemd S: 17.4 Mbps R: 57.5 Gbps 0:00.13 kthreadd 2 root



5 items

 \pm









0 -26

0 -26

0 -20

3 root

4 root

6 root 10 root

11 root

12 root

13 root

14 root

15 root

16 root

17 root

19 root 21 root

22 root

23 root

25 root

26 root

27 root

0:00.00 rcu ap

0:00.00 rcu par qp

0:00.00 mm percpu wg

0:00.32 ksoftirgd/0

0:00.03 migration/0

0:01.38 migration/1

0:00.07 ksoftirad/1

0:01.37 migration/2

0:00.01 ksoftirad/2

0:01.39 migration/3

0:00.00 kworker/2:0H-kblos

0:03.17 rcu sched

0:00.00 cpuhp/0


0:00.00 cpuhp/1

0:00.00 cpuhp/2

8:88.88 cpuhp/3

IORING_OP_SENDMSG (Part2)


The results vary havily depending on the NUMA bouncing, between 5.0 GBytes/s and 7.6 GBytes/s





IORING_OP_SENDMSG (Part3)

The major problem still exists, memory copy done by copy_user_enhanced_fast_string()






IORING_OP_SENDMSG + IORING_OP_SPLICE (Part1)

16 connections, ~8.9 GBytes/s, smbd ~5% cpu, (io_wqe_work 3%-12% cpu filesystem->pipe->socket), only ~100% cpu in total.

 $The \ Windows \ client \ was \ still \ the \ bottleneck \ with \ "Set-SmbClientConfiguration - ConnectionCountPerRssNetworkInterface \ 16"$






multichannel / io_uring (15/21)

SerNet

smbclient IORING_OP_SENDMSG/SPLICE (network)

4 connections, ~11 GBytes/s, smbd 8.6% cpu, with 4 io_wqe_work threads (pipe to socket) at ~20% cpu each.





smbclient IORING_OP_SENDMSG/SPLICE (loopback)

 $8\ connections,\ \~~22\ GBytes/s,\ smbd\ 22\%\ cpu,\ with\ 4\ io_wqe_work\ threads\ (pipe\ to\ socket)\ at\ \~~22\%\ cpu\ each.$

smbclient is the bottleneck here too, it triggers the memory copy done by copy_user_enhanced_fast_string() file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (3875874.6 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2888881.8 KiloBytes/sec) top • 84:88:58 up 4 days, 23:82, 6 users, load average: 9.15, 3.56, 1.4 Tasks: 917 total, 14 running, 983 sleeping, 8 stopped, 8 zombie etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2942520.3 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2943679.6 KiloBytes/sec) etting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (2719787.2 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2841637.3 KiloBytes/sec) MCpu(s): 0.3 us, 11.2 sy, 0.0 ni, 86.1 id, 0.0 wa, 0.2 hi, 2.1 si, 0.0 st etting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (2951888.2 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2879437.6 KiloBytes/sec) MiB Mem : 191624.1 total, 176925.4 free, 3316.7 used, 11382.8 buff/cache etting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (2881641.2 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2739178.8 KiloBytes/sec) MiB Swap: 1024.0 total, 1024.0 free, A A mend 188483 7 avail Non SHR S 1/CPU 1/MEM etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3117198.9 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2090262.3 KiloBytes/sec) petting file \586 dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (3867618.6 KiloRytes/sec) (average 2944358.1 KiloRytes/sec) 322765 root petting file \586 dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/pull (3898335.4 KiloRytes/sec) (average 2741473.6 KiloRytes/sec) 322768 root petting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/mull (2741632.8 KiloRytes/sec) (average 2848912.6 KiloRytes/sec) 322762 root petting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/mull (3882932.1 KiloRytes/sec) (average 2888254.5 KiloRytes/sec) 322761 root 322766 root 322759 roof etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3088939.0 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2091536.4 KiloBytes/sec) etting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (2515978.2 KiloRytes/sec) (average 2731748.8 KiloRytes/sec 322782 roof etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2171791.9 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2709204.0 KiloBytes/sec) 322827 root etting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (2921548.2 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2944283.8 KiloBytes/sec) etting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (3893655.1 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2743728.7 KiloBytes/sec) etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3093655.1 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2042525.3 KiloBytes/sec etting file \586.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3007341.7 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2031000.4 KiloBytes/sec 322796 root etting file \586.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3107738.5 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2060079.4 KiloBytes/sec etting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (3136293.6 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2893872.3 KiloBytes/sec) 222222 root etting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (2752887.8 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2731898.3 KiloBytes/sec) 322818 root getting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (3884336.9 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2945895.8 KiloBytes/sec) 318818 root petting file \586.dat of size 2897152888 as /dev/null (2745388.8 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2789462.2 KiloBytes/sec) 322833 root petting file \586.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3117198.9 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2746078.8 KiloBytes/sec) 322854 root metting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3117198.9 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2044253.7 KiloBytes/sec) 322842 root metting file \50G.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2563203.7 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2578659.5 KiloBytes/sec) 322851 root getting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2519064.9 KiloBytes/sec) (average 255651.4 KiloBytes/sec) 322868 root getting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3093655.1 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2094340.3 KiloBytes/sec) 322862 root etting file \50G.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2020728.9 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2732506.5 KiloBytes/sec) 1:49.89 perf etting file \50G.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2771312.2 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2709397.3 KiloBytes/sec) etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3131498.0 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2846041.8 KiloBytes/sec) 8:82.77 io wae worker-8 etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3131498.0 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2748470.0 KiloBytes/sec) 322848 root 8:82.52 io wge worker-8 etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2595690.4 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2942472.7 KiloBytes/sec) 322865 root 8:82.68 io wae worker-8 etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3038575.2 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2957176.0 KiloBytes/sec) 322868 root etting file \50G.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2976743.8 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2879300.8 KiloBytes/sec) 322887 root 8:82.57 io wae worker-8 etting file \50G.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (3038575.2 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2895262.7 KiloBytes/sec) 20 8.8 8:82.58 io wae worker-8 etting file \506.dat of size 2097152000 as /dev/null (2024827.2 KiloBytes/sec) (average 2733199.6 KiloBytes/sec) 3.6 8.8 8:82.33 io wge worker-8 8 S 3.6 8.8 8:82.52 io wge worker-8 amples: 30M of event 'cycles', 1888 Hz, Event count (approx.): 526765589529 lost: 6/8 drop: 0/8 15755379286b 47266148166b verhead Shared Object [kernel] [k] native queued spin lock slowpath [k] raw spin lock bh [k] raw spin lock [k] copy page to iter Ikl skh release data 2264268 neak: [k] check object size



More loopback testing on brand new hardware

- Recently I re-did the loopback read tests IORING_OP_SENDMSG/SPLICE (from /dev/shm/)
 - ▶ 1 connection, ~10-13 GBytes/s, smbd 7% cpu,
 - with 4 iou-wrk threads at 7%-50% cpu.
 - ▶ 4 connections, 24-30 GBytes/s, smbd 18% cpu, with 16 iou-wrk threads at 3%-35% cpu.
- ► I also implemented SMB2 writes with IORING_OP_RECVMSG/SPLICE (tested to /dev/null)
 - ▶ 1 connection, ~7-8 GBytes/s, smbd 5% cpu, with 3 io-wrk threads at 1%-20% cpu.
 - ▶ 4 connections, ~10 GBytes/s, smbd 15% cpu, with 12 io-wrk threads at 1%-20% cpu.
- ▶ I tested with a Linux Kernel 5.13
 - ▶ In both cases the bottleneck is clearly on the smbclient side
 - We could apply similar changes to smbclient and add true multichannel support
 - It seems that the filesystem->pipe->socket path is much better optimized



Stefan Metzmacher

multichannel / io_uring (18/21)

SerNet

Improvements for transfers with SMB3 signing

- ► Samba 4.15 has support for AES-128-GMAC signing:
 - ▶ This is also available in recent Windows versions
 - ▶ It's based on AES-128-GCM (but only with authentication data)
 - The gnutls library is able to provide:
 - ~6 GBytes/s for AES-128-GCM
 - ~10 GBytes/s for AES-128-GMAC
- ► For SMB3 signing/encryption we use:
 - ► IORING_OP_SPLICE from a file into a (splice)pipe
 - ► IORING_OP_TEE from the (splice)pipe to a 2nd (tee)pipe
 - ► IORING_OP_READ from the (tee)pipe into a userspace buffer
 - (vmsplice might work even better)
 - ▶ The userspace buffer is only used to calculate the signing signature
 - ► IORING_OP_SENDMSG and IORING_OP_SPLICE are used in order to avoid a copy back to the kernel
- ► For a SMB2 read test I removed the signing check in smbclient:
 - ► The performance changed from ~3 GBytes/s before
 - To ~5 GBytes/s using the IORING_OP_TEE trick
 With smbclient still being the bootleneck at 100% cpu
- SD@ SHMBH Stefan Metzmacher

Future Improvements

- recvmsg and splice deliver partial SMB packets to userspace
 - ▶ I tested with AF_KCM (Kernel Connection Multiplexor) and an eBPF helper
 - ▶ But MSG_WAITALL is the much simpler and faster solution
 - I also prototyped a SPLICE_F_WAITALL
 - ▶ eBPF support in io-uring would also be great for optimizations
- It also seems that socket->pipe->filesystem:
 - Does not implement zero copy for all cases
 - Maybe it's possible to optimize this in future
- ▶ In the end SMB-Direct will also be able to reduce overhead
 - My smbdirect driver is still work in progress...
 - ► With the IORING_FEAT_NATIVE_WORKERS feature it will be possible glue it to IORING_OP_SENDMSG





Questions? Feedback!

- ► Feedback regarding real world testing would be great!
- ▶ Stefan Metzmacher, metze@samba.org
- ► https://www.sernet.com
- https://samba.plus

Slides: https://samba.org/~metze/presentations/2021/SDC/



