

Running Linux in a Windows World

John H Terpstra, CTO
Primastasy Inc.
jht@primastasy.com

itec

A CENTRIC Event

Outline

- Where does Linux fit in an MS Windows world?
- How does Linux compare with MS Windows functionality?
 - **As a server**
 - **On the desktop**
- Document interchange/format capabilities
- Standards and market trends
- Cost of ownership comparison
 - **Acquisition costs**
 - **Update and maintenance costs**
 - **Operating costs**
- Security and business risk
- Summary

Linux in a Windows world

- MS Windows and Linux must co-exist and interoperate
 - **MS Windows, Apple Mac, and Linux will share the desktop**
 - **MS Windows and Linux will share the server space**
- Many organizations want choice
- Excessive divergence will not be tolerated
 - **Means that differences must be transparent**
 - **Can cause no user disorientation**
 - User re-training is costly
 - Support re-training is costly

Comparing two worlds

- Linux as a server
 - **Back end systems are transparent to users**
 - **Microsoft lock desktop to server**
 - Market asking for open choice
 - **Linux on desktop**
 - Application driven requirements
 - Need to compare applications and interoperability
- Cost of ownership will be a key issue
 - **Difficult financial times dictate need fo cost control**

Linux v's Windows servers

- Cost and complexity barriers
 - **MS Windows server services are highly task oriented**
 - Good configuration and management tools
 - Easy to install
 - **Linux servers**
 - Up to SUSE Linux Server 9 – poorer configuration toolset
 - Required installation expert knowledge
- Performance Comparison
 - **Many reports of Linux out-performing MS Windows 200x servers**
 - **May require more resources for Linux**
- Physical products
 - **MS Windows Server 2003**
 - **SUSE Linux Server 9 or Red Hat Enterprise Linux Server 3**

Linux/Windows Services

- File and Print
 - **MS Windows has native built-in support**
 - **Linux uses Samba and NFS**
- DHCP
 - **MS Windows has add-on package with GUI config tool**
 - **Linux has ISC DHCP server and no config tool (except SLES9)**
- DNS
 - **MS Windows has add-on DNS server with GUI config tool**
 - **Linux has ISC BIND. Red Hat/SuSE Linux have own config tools**
- Print Server
 - **MS Windows has built-in print monitor**
 - **Linux has CUPS built-in (more powerful)**

More Server Features

- SQL Server
 - **MS Windows SQL Server is add-on product**
 - Ships with all client drivers (ODBC etc)
 - **Linux has integrated MySQL and PostgreSQL**
- Web Server
 - **MS Windows Internet Information Server (built-in)**
 - **Linux has Apache web server with add-on modules**
 - Modules includes: PHP, SSL, auth_ldap, jserv, tomcat
- Mail and Groupware
 - **MS Windows Exchange Server is add-on product**
 - Includes MS Windows client drivers and tools
 - **Linux has postfix or sendmail MTA**
 - Groupware solutions are add-ons (phpgroupware, etc.)

Still More Server Features

- Print Serving
 - **MS Windows has integrated and built-in Print Monitor**
 - **Linux has Common Unix Print Systems (CUPS)**
- Web Proxy Server
 - **MS Windows has add-on package called ISA**
 - **Linux has built-in SQUID**
- Virtual Private Networking
 - **MS Windows has built-in IPsec based VPN**
 - **Linux 2.6 kernel has built-in IPsec support, plus VTUN and stunnel package for user space VPN**
- Firewall Support
 - **MS Windows has very basic TCP/IP filters built-in**
 - Full firewall is third-party add-on
 - **Linux has comprehensive IPtables based firewall capability**

Authentication and Single Sign-On

- MS Windows Server 2003 has Active Directory
 - **Complete with management tools**
- Linux has Samba-3, OpenLDAP and Kerberos
 - **Can be configured to provide centrally managed identity management services**
 - Equivalent – NOT SAME AS Active Directory
 - **Management tools need to be added by the Administrator**
 - Third-party sources (Except SUSE SLES 9)
 - SLES9 has fully integrated LDAP tools
- Microsoft authentication is fully integrated through Active Directory
 - **GSSAPI support includes: SPNEGO, NTLMv2, SASL, etc.**
 - **Samba provides these also, needs some manual configuration**

Server Summary

- Linux has equivalent capabilities to MS Windows Server 2003
 - **Mostly transparent to client software**
 - **Many have configuration tools that are not fully integrated**
 - **Offers greater choice, functionality and performance**
 - **Can replace MS Windows Server 2003**
 - Some increase in management overhead
 - Open Source means no vendor lock-in
 - Works transparently with MS Windows clients
- Linux servers can be introduced without the desktop user noticing any difference

Linux Desktop v's MS Windows XP Professional

- Weaknesses / Strengths
 - **MS Windows Clients are all susceptible to viruses, Worms and ActiveX exploits**
 - **Linux has no such vulnerabilities**
- Software Choice
 - **MS Windows has single set of tools for:**
 - Desktop interface
 - Microsoft Applications (MS Office, Internet Explorer, etc.)
 - **Linux offers extensive choice in desktop software**

Desktop Software Comparison

- MS Windows XP Professional is the corporate desktop product
- SuSE 9.1 Professional is the corporate desktop product
- Comparison
 - **Software Development Tools**
 - MS Windows Visual Toolkit is an add-on product
 - Linux includes full SDK, compilers, IDE, etc.
 - **Office Toolkit**
 - MS Windows
 - MS Office is an add-on product
 - Organizer is part of MS Exchange client
 - Adobe Acrobat must be downloaded and installed separately
 - Linux includes full choice of Office Suites
 - OpenOffice, Organizers, Acrobat viewer is included

More Comparisons

- Comparison Continued
 - **Financial Mangement Software**
 - MS Windows has add-on product (MS Money)
 - Linux has built-in choice of GNUCash and KmyMoney
 - **Flowcharting**
 - MS Windows thrid-party product is Visio
 - Linux has choice of: Dia, Kchart, Kivio
 - **Email Client**
 - MS Windows MS Exchange Client (part of MS Exchange)
 - Linux has choice of:
 - Ximian Evolution (integrated equivalent to MS Exchange Client
 - Has same look-and-fee
 - Addressbook, organizers, Jpilot (no need to third-party Palm software)
 - Kmail, Mutt and Pine

More Comparisons

- Comparison Continued
 - **Graphic Tools**
 - Photograph Handling
 - MS Windows – many third party tools as well as integrated tools
 - Linux has gphoto, DigiKam, Kalbum
 - Image Editing
 - MS Windows – Adobe Photoshop (third party add-on)
 - Linux has GIMP, PixiePlus, additional open source choices
 - Scanning
 - MS Windows has TWAIN driver based tools (third-party)
 - Linux has: xsane, gOCR, kooka (built-in)
 - Vector Drawing
 - MS Windows requires third-party tools
 - Linux has a number of built-in choices

More Comparisons

- Comparison Continued
 - **Internet Tools**
 - Web Browser
 - MS Windows has built-in Internet Explorer
 - Linux has built-in choice of:
 - Mozilla Web Browser
 - Konqueror Web Browser
 - Opera Web Browser
 - Web Page Development
 - MS Windows product is called FrontPage
 - Linux has choice of:
 - Bluefish, Kommander, Mozilla Editor, Quanta
 - Network Neighborhood
 - MS Windows has built-in support through MS Windows Explorer
 - Linux has Network Neighborhood toolset in Konqueror and in Gnome desktop

Document Interchange

- MS Windows tools use mix of industry standard file formats
 - **MS Office file format is proprietart**
- Linux follows open standards in file formats
 - **OpenOffice interoperates with MS Office proprietary file formats**
- Some open source products use own file formats
 - **Most of these also permit export in industry standard file formats**
- Plug And Play
 - **MS Windows is more-or-less hot-swap Plug 'n Play**
 - Some drives must be installed
 - **Linux is hot-swap Plug 'n Play**
 - Most drivers auto-install

Standards and Market Trends

- MS Windows is a proprietary toolset
 - **Follows open standards wherer there is no other choice**
 - Example: Web standards se tby W3C
 - **MS Office file format is proprietary**
 - It's XML format is a proprietary format
- OpenOffice uses completely open standard file formats
 - **Also can read/write MS Office files**
 - Not perfectly
- The IT Industry needs to insist on the creation and adoption of open public standards for all file formats and protocols
 - **Even Microsoft should follow these**

Cost of Ownership Comparison - Server

- MS Windows Server 2003
 - **Standard Edition – Approx. \$800 per server**
 - Add client access licenses
 - **Enterprise Edition – Approx. \$3000 per server**
 - Add client access licenses
- Linux
 - **SuSE SLES9 – Approx. \$800**
 - No client access licenses
 - **Red Hat Enterprise Linux 3 – Approx. \$800**
 - No client access licenses
 - **Note: Red Hat do not sell a desktop suite**
 - Red Hat Fedora is free

Cost of Ownership Comparison - Desktop

- MS Windows XP Professional
 - Price Varies from \$50 to \$300 per desktop
 - Add applications – average \$800 per client
 - Addition of MS Visual Studio and all software equivalent to SuSE Linux 9.1 Professional cost is over \$3000
 - Requires Software Assurance subscription
- SuSE Linux 9.1 Professional
 - Amazon Price - \$79.99
 - Includes full set of applications
 - Includes free updates

Security and Business Risk

- MS Windows operating systems (MS Windows 2003, XPP)
 - **Susceptible to viruses, worms and application-level exploits**
 - **MS Word Basic is a high-risk MS Office component**
 - **Ever present risk that Microsoft will abandon products**
 - **Ever present risk that third-party vendors will go out of business or will be acquired**
- Linux is more secure
 - **Not susceptible to viruses, worms**
 - **Is susceptible to application-level exploits**
 - **Most applications are well locked down by default**
 - **Zero risk of vendor going out of business**
 - Open Source means anyone can buy support

Update Requirements

- Both MS Windows as well as Linux must be kept up to date
 - **Security updates to plug exploits**
 - **Software updates to keep up with new hardware needs**
- Both offer automated update facilities
 - **Both on subscription / pay-as-you go basis**
- Update cycle
 - **Both approximately 18 months**
 - **Linux updates tend to be more comprehensive**
 - But have good backwards compatibility

Summary

- MS Windows comparison with Linux is complex
- Both provide very complete environments
- Linux can interoperate with MS Windows
- Where an organization actively works to eliminate vendor lock-in
 - **Both interoperate exceptionally well**
- Costs are comparable at the superficial level
 - **Linux is more cost effective in more environments that demand more complexity**
 - Nearly everything you might need is already bundled into the core product
 - Licensing costs are lower
- Only a Cost versus benefit study can correctly determine ROI for your site
- Are you actively evaluating Linux now?

**End
Q&A**