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Major Features

- The basic goals of Samba4 are quite ambitious, but achievable:
  - protocol completeness
  - extreme testability
  - non-POSIX backends
  - fully asynchronous internals
  - flexible process models
  - auto-generated RPC infrastructure
  - flexible database architecture
Protocol Completeness

- CIFS/SMB is a huge protocol, but is not infinite.
- In previous versions of Samba we implemented new protocol elements “on demand”, only adding an element when we saw an application using it.
- In Samba4 the new attitude is “implement everything”
Old testing method

- The Samba project has previously developed testsuites of 3 main kinds:
  - ad-hoc tests for a range of specific conditions
  - full-coverage tests for a very small range of operations
  - randomised testing for a very small range of operations
- This approach did work to some extent, but suffered from some major drawbacks:
  - many parts of the protocol remained completely untested
  - many fields untested within the tested parts of the protocol
  - difficult to expand to be comprehensive
New approach: extreme testability

- The new testing system in Samba4 is based on a few basic components:
  - a comprehensive raw client library
  - individual tests covering every field of every call
  - a randomised dual-server tester with broad coverage
  - a "CIFS on CIFS" storage backend for the Samba4 server
- These components work together to provide a testing capability far beyond what could be achieved with our earlier testsuites
CIFS Plugfest
Raw Client Library

- The heart of the new testing system is a 'raw' comprehensive client library. Unlike our previous client library this allows easy generation of all SMBs, with control over all fields in each request.

- New features include:
  - async interfaces
  - oplock support
  - no 'smarts' - send exactly what is asked for

- Note that it takes a lot code to use the new interface compared to the old one. The old interface is still available as a wrapper.
C interface to raw library

Old interface:

```c
int fnum = cli_open(cli, "\\test.dat", O_RDWR, DENY_READ);
```

New Interface:

```c
NTSTATUS status;
union smb_open io;

io.generic.level = RAW_OPEN_OPENX;
io.openx.in.flags = OPENX_FLAGS_ADDITIONAL_INFO;
io.openx.in.open_mode = OPEN_MODE_ACCESS_RDWR;
io.openx.in.search_attrs = FILE_ATTRIBUTE_SYSTEM|FILE_ATTRIBUTE_HIDDEN;
io.openx.in.file_attrs = 0;
io.openx.in.write_time = 0;
io.openx.in.open_func = OPENX_OPEN_FUNC_OPEN;
io.openx.in.size = 0;
io.openx.in.timeout = 0;
io.openx.in.fname = "\\test.dat";

req = smb_raw_open_send(tree, &io);
status = smb_raw_open_recv(req, mem_ctx, &io);
```
CIFS Backend

• A new feature in Samba4 is the ability to define arbitrary storage backends at the 'raw' CIFS level

• A backend that has proved incredibly useful for testing is the 'CIFS' backend, that uses a remote CIFS server for all operations:
  • uses the raw client library for remote server access
  • ideal for testing core server infrastructure
  • combined with the individual tests and gentest it allows the server side CIFS parsing to be tested in isolation
gentest

• gentest is the 'big gun' CIFS test program that I have wanted to build for many years. Basic features include:
  • dual server, dual instance testing
  • randomised, broad coverage request generation
  • automatic backtracking for finding minimal request subset
  • can cover all fields of all requests
  • full async oplock testing
Dual Server Testing

- The basis of gentest is 'dual server testing', the same basic technique used in the 'locktest' program from earlier versions of Samba:
  - The test program establishes two connections to each of two servers
  - Random requests are then generated, with identical requests sent to the two servers
  - At each step gentest compares every field of every response between the two servers
  - When a response differs gentest uses backtracking to find the minimal subset of the requests sent so far that generates a difference in response
Backtracking

• When a difference is discovered between the two servers gentest goes into 'analyze' mode, using a backtracking technique to find the minimal subset of requests that produce a difference:

  • successively smaller chunks of the request streams are blocked out
  • If a difference is still reported when a chunk is blocked out then that chunk is not needed and can be discarded
  • reconnects to the servers and wipes all files at each pass
  • The final pattern of requests can be replayed for analysis with a network sniffer
Unix<->Unix Connectivity

- Samba is finally breaking away from its Windows-only roots and starting to look seriously at providing a good Unix to Unix filesystem.
- The Unix CIFS extensions are gaining acceptance by several vendors.
  - hard links, symlinks, devices
  - rename and unlink open files
- The new cifs-vfs Linux client is leading the way, and may eventually become a viable challenger to replace NFS
Process Models

- Samba3 only supported a “one client, one fork” process model

- In Samba4 the process model is pluggable, allowing the model to match the environment and backend

- Three process model modules are currently available:
  - 'single' - one process for all clients
  - 'standard' - the old Samba3 model
  - 'thread' - a pthread per client
pidl - autogenerated RPC

- In Samba4 we are finally moving to auto-generated RPC code, using a new IDL compiler called “pidl”
  - extended IDL syntax to support Microsoft “handwritten” RPC, including relative and subcontext RPC
  - auto-generation of test suite support code makes test suite generation easy
  - auto-generates both client and server code
  - work in progress to auto-generated server backends using ldb API
- Over 100k lines of Samba3 code have been replaced with less than 10k lines in Samba4, with increased functionality and robustness
ldb - a new database API

- A little known fact is that internally Samba is database driven, using the tdb “trivial” database
- In Samba4 we will use ldb
  - a mid-point between LDAP and TDB
  - allows for “no-schema” operation
  - LDAP-like API
  - can either use a TDB or LDAP backend
  - very fast indexing
  - supports LDAP search expressions
- ldb will be used for all persistent databases. tdb will be used for temporary databases (like locking)
Active Directory and PDC

• Our aim is to make Samba4 be a full ADS domain controller, plus a full NT4 domain controller

• We will use auto-generated mappings from IDL to ldb to store directory information

• The work to make Samba4 a domain controller is only just beginning, but the basic infrastructure looks good
The move to UTF-16

• In Samba3 we finally moved to full UCS-2 unicode support, greatly improving support for multi-byte languages

• For Samba4 (and perhaps Samba3.x?) we need to move to UTF-16, to allow for support of those characters not in UCS-2.

• A new technique (thanks to this trip to Hong Kong!) should mean that languages like Chinese and Japanese will actually be much FASTER than English in Samba4
Easier Install

- For Samba4 I want Samba to be much easier to install and configure
  - builtin web configuration in smbd - no extra setup
  - no base config file needed, just start daemon and use browser
  - new GUI for SWAT, including functionality from current command line tools
  - ldb+tdb means no messing about with LDAP setup
Portability

• Samba is aggressively portable
• See build farm at http://build.samba.org/
The effort to build Samba4 has so far taken 3 people about 14 months.

- RAW client library done
- test suite done
- NTVFS layer done
- CIFS backend done
- RPC/pidl infrastructure done

To get this far we have dropped a great deal of fundamental functionality.
More Info

• So, you want to help? Good!
  • Get the code from the 'samba4' cvs module on samba.org
  • Join the samba-technical IRC channel and mailing list
  • Not for the faint of heart! This is not production code yet
  • See http://samba.org/ftp/samba/slides/samba4_hklug.pdf for a copy of these slides

Questions?
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